



The Big Sky Country

EXHIBIT 13
DATE 2/20/15
HB 463

MONTANA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

SPONSOR'S REBUTTAL TO FISCAL NOTE

House Bill Number: HB463 Date Prepared: 19 Feb 2015

Short Title: **Revise Laws Related to Asset Forfeiture**

Sponsor: **Rep. Kelly McCarthy**

Generally, why do you disagree with the fiscal note?

The impact to the DOJ is overstated.

Specifically, what in the fiscal note do you feel is flawed?

(Describe specific assumptions, calculations, technical issues, etc.)

The assumptions in paragraph 1 and 2 under the Department of Justice seem logical.

Paragraph 3 is a bit of a stretch when they state that a judge could decide to award a convicted persons assets to other parties instead of the DOJ. The 50% reduction stated is not supported by any evidence. It's just kind of a spitball.

What is your estimate of the fiscal impact?

\$29,400 in each year of the biennium

Sponsor Signature:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Kelly McCarthy", written over a horizontal line.

HB 463

